Tutorial http://www-rohan.sdsu.edu/~gawron/semantics

Jean Mark Gawron

San Diego State University, Department of Linguistics


2010-08-19

Jean Mark Gawron (SDSU) Gawron: Translating into statement logic

1 2010-08-19 1 / 11

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト





1 2010-08-19 2 / 11

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト



1 2010-08-19 3 / 11

590

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Translate into statement logic Be sure and represent all the connectives of statement logic explicitly. (→, &, V, ~, ↔). For Example:


Bill did not smile at Mary

 $p = Bill \ smiled \ at \ Mary$

 $\sim p$

If Bill did not smile at Mary, Mary danced a jig

 $p = Bill \ smiled \ at \ Mary$ $q = Mary \ danced \ a \ jig.$ $\sim p \rightarrow q$



590

◆ロト ◆聞ト ◆ヨト ◆ヨト

The wrong way

Bill did not smile at Mary

p = Bill did not smile at Mary *p*

< A

- 4 ∃ ▶

The wrong way

Bill did not smile at Mary

p = Bill did not smile at Mary *p*

2 Capture

truth conditions, no more, so equivalent sentences get same translation:

The wrong way

Bill did not smile at Mary

p = Bill did not smile at Mary *p*

2 Capture

truth conditions, no more, so equivalent sentences get same translation:


- a. Bill did not smile at Mary.
- b. It is not the case that Bill smiled at Mary.

- **1** Bill and Mary smoked.
- 2 Bill smoked and Mary smoked

p = Bill smokedq = Mary smokedp & q

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト





590

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Connectives

(both) and	&,&	p & q
		(Both) John and Bill awakened.
		Sue awakened (both) John and Bill.
(either) or	\vee	$p \lor q$
		(Either) John or Bill awakened.
		Sue awakened John or Bill.
not	\sim	\sim p
		John didnt sleep.
		It's not the case that John slept.
neither nor		Neither Sue nor Mary slept.
		Sue neither ran nor swam.
not nor		John didnt sleep (and) nor did Sue.
unless		John will win unless he withdraws.
because		Give up!

Sentential Connective principle

To translate an English sentence using a sentential connective of statement logic, you must find a logically equivalent sentence in which two full sentences are connected by an appropriate conjunction.

John and Bill awakened.	John awakened and Bill awakened. p = John awakened ; q = Bill awakened p & q awaken(j) & awaken(b)		
Sue awakened John and Bill.	Sue awakened John and Sue awakened Bill.		
	<pre>p = Sue awakened John; q = Sue awakened Bill p & q awaken2(s,j) & awaken2(s,b)</pre>		
	<ロ> <団> <団> < 団> < 団> < 団> 、 国> 、 国 · のへの		

- a. Everyone accompanied by a cat or a dog was invited.
- b. Everyone accompanied by a cat was invited or everyone accompanied h
- c. John didn't eat a donut or a muffin.
- d. John didn't eat a donut or John didn't eat a muffin.

Note: These examples are important because if the pairs **aren't** equivalent, we are completely out of tricks. We can't translate these sentences with our current translation rules, yet we have a pretty clear idea that the truth conditions of *and* and *or* play a role.

- a. Everyone accompanied by a cat or a dog was invited.
- b. Everyone accompanied by a cat was invited or everyone accompanied b
- c. John didn't eat a donut or a muffin.
- d. John didn't eat a donut or John didn't eat a muffin.
- Are (a) and (b) equivalent? If not, describe a situation in which (a) is true, and (b) is not (or vice versa). To make it clear, have "everyone" be some set of people clear from context (Alice, Bob, Carol, and Doug are popular because of their first initials), and describe which of them is invited, and which have dogs and which have cats.
- Are (c) and (d) equivalent? Same challenge. If not, give an example in which one is true and the other isn't.

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト

Neither John nor Bill John didn't awaken and Bill didn't awaken. awakened.

$$egin{aligned} & \mathsf{Q} = \mathsf{awaken}; \ \mathsf{p} = \mathsf{John} \ \mathsf{Q'ed} \ ; \ \mathsf{q} = \mathsf{Bill} \ \mathsf{Q'ed} \ & \sim p\& \sim q \ & \sim (p \lor q) \end{aligned}$$

Truth table							
J. Q'ed	B. Q'ed	Neither J. nor B. Q'ed	\sim p& \sim q	\sim ($p \lor q$)			
Т	Т	F	F	F			
Т	F	F	F	F			
F	Т	F	F	F			
F	F	Т	Т	Т			

Bill or Sue saw Tom

$$p = \text{Bill sleeps}$$

 $q = \text{Sue sleeps}$
 $p \lor q$

990

◆ロト ◆聞ト ◆ヨト ◆ヨト



p = Bill saw Tom q = Bill saw Al p & q

Bill or Sue saw Tom and Al. Two possibilities. Which is right?

(Bill or Sue saw Tom) and (Bill or Sue saw AI). (Bill saw Tom or Sue saw Tom) and (Bill saw AI or Sue saw AI)

This is true if Bill saw Tom and Sue saw Al.

(Bill saw Tom and AI) or (Sue saw Tom and AI). (Bill saw Tom and Bill saw AI) or (Sue saw Tom and Sue saw AI)

This is false if Bill saw Tom and Sue saw Al.