Properties of X-bar

Complements, Adjuncts (& Specifiers.)

Specifier Rule: $XP \rightarrow (YP) X'$

Specifier Rule: $XP \rightarrow (YP) X'$

Adjunct Rule: $X' \rightarrow (ZP) X'$ or $X' \rightarrow X' (ZP)$

- Specifier Rule: $XP \rightarrow (YP) X'$
- Adjunct Rule: $X' \rightarrow (ZP) X'$ or $X' \rightarrow X' (ZP)$
- Complement Rule: $X' \rightarrow X$ (WP)

Predictions?

Predictions?

Propose three different kinds of modifiers:

- + specifiers
- + complements
- adjuncts

Predictions?

Propose three different kinds of modifiers:

- specifiers
- + complements
- adjuncts

 Is this valid? Are there really three different kinds? Do they have different properties

Formal Definitions

Formal Definitions

Specifier: Daughter of XP, sister to X'



 $XP \rightarrow (YP) X'$























Revised Principle of Modification

If an XP modifies some head Y, then it must be dominated by some projection of Y (i.e., it must be dominated by Y, Y', ..., Y', YP)



The student of linguistics



The student of linguistics

The student from Phoenix







Quick way to distinguish complements and adjuncts in NPs (doesn't work for other categories). Complements of N are marked with the preposition 'of'. All other prepositions mark adjuncts. (This is not fool proof!)

The student [of linguistics] [from Phoenix]

©Andrew Carníe, 2006

The student [of linguistics] [from Phoenix] head complement adjunct

The student [of linguistics] [from Phoenix] head complement adjunct *The student [from Phoenix] [of linguistics]

The student [of linguistics] [from Phoenix] head complement adjunct *The student [from Phoenix] [of linguistics] head adjunct complement

The student [of linguistics] [from Phoenix] head complement adjunct *The student [from Phoenix] [of linguistics]





Only one complement, multiple adjuncts

- $X' \rightarrow (ZP) X'$ or $X' \rightarrow X' (ZP)$ Iterative
- $X' \rightarrow X$ (WP) not iterative



Only one complement, multiple adjuncts

- $X' \rightarrow (ZP) X'$ or $X' \rightarrow X' (ZP)$ Iterative
- $X' \rightarrow X$ (WP) not iterative

the student of linguistics with the red hair from Phoenix in the bath

Only one complement, multiple adjuncts

- $X' \rightarrow (ZP) X'$ or $X' \rightarrow X' (ZP)$ Iterative
- $X' \rightarrow X$ (WP) not iterative

the student of linguistics with the red hair from Phoenix in the bath

*the student of linguistics of chemistry from Phoenix

Adjuncts can be reordered

The student of linguistics from Phoenix with red hair on the bus. The student of linguistics with red hair from Phoenix on the bus. The student of linguistics with red hair on the bus from Phoenix. The student of linguistics on the bus with red hair from Phoenix. The student of linguistics on the bus from Phoenix with red hair. The student of linguistics from Phoenix on the bus with red hair. *The student from Phoenix of linguistics with red hair on the bus *The student from Phoenix with red hair of linguistics on the bus *The student from Phoenix with red hair on the bus of linguistics (etc.)
The conjunction rule: Xⁿ → Xⁿ Conj Xⁿ
 The red and blue house *The red and cat



The conjunction rule: Xⁿ → Xⁿ Conj Xⁿ
 The red and blue house *The red and cat
 Complements can be conjoined with complements:
 The student of linguistics and of philosophy

The conjunction rule: Xⁿ → Xⁿ Conj Xⁿ
 The red and blue house *The red and cat
 Complements can be conjoined with complements:

 The student of linguistics and of philosophy

 Adjuncts can be conjoined with adjuncts

 The student with red hair and with a tattoo

• The conjunction rule: $X^n \rightarrow X^n$ Conj X^n The red and blue house *The red and cat Complements can be conjoined with complements: The student of linguistics and of philosophy Adjuncts can be conjoined with adjuncts The student with red hair and with a tattoo **Complements** cannot be conjoined with adjuncts *The student of linguistics and with red hair

One replacement: replace N' with one.



One Replacement: replace N' with one.



©Andrew Carníe, 2006

One Replacement: replace N' with one.



One Replacement: replace N' with one.



The student from Phoenix not the [_{N'}one] from Tucson



The student from Phoenix not the [_{N'}one] from Tucson

*The student of linguistics not the one of chemistry

- The student from Phoenix not the [_{N'}one] from Tucson
 - *The student of linguistics not the one of chemistry

For those of you who find the last sentence grammatical, your rule targets both N and N' and this test won't work for you to distinguish adjuncts from complements

Telling complements from adjuncts

You should be able to list an example or two of these on the exam

Complements	Adjuncts
only 1	multiple allowed
closest to head	may be separated from head
cannot be reordered	can be reordered
conjoin with complements	conjoin with adjuncts
*[one]+complement	✓[one]+adjunct

When you have only one PP modifier or AdjP modifier, be very careful to see if it is a complement or adjunct. If it is an adjunct it must be a sister to the X' level!!!!!

When you have only one PP modifier or AdjP modifier, be very careful to see if it is a complement or adjunct. If it is an adjunct it must be a sister to the X' level!!!!!



When you have only one PP modifier or AdjP modifier, be very careful to see if it is a complement or adjunct. If it is an adjunct it must be a sister to the X' level!!!!!



When you have only one PP modifier or AdjP modifier, be very careful to see if it is a complement or adjunct. If it is an adjunct it must be a sister to the X' level!!!!!





©Andrew Carníe, 2006

When you have only one PP modifier or AdjP modifier, be very careful to see if it is a complement or adjunct. If it is an adjunct it must be a sister to the X' level!!!!!



©Andrew Carníe, 2006

John [VP often eats apples with a fork] adjunct head complement adjunct

 John [_{VP} often eats apples with a fork] adjunct head complement adjunct
 In VPs, the direct object is always the complement. (Almost) everything else is an adjunct.

John [VP often eats apples with a fork]

adjunct head complement adjunct

- In VPs, the direct object is always the complement. (Almost) everything else is an adjunct.
- (Exception to the rule: the verbs give and put take two complements a NP and PP.)
 - I gave the apple to John (both are complements)
 - + I put the book on the table

Hoved the policeman intensely with all my heart

I loved the policeman intensely with all my heart



I loved the policeman intensely with all my heart



I loved the policeman intensely with all my heart





Only 1 complement
 *I loved the policeman the fireman

Only 1 complement

*I loved the policeman the fireman

Reordering

I loved the policeman with all my heart intensely
I loved the policeman intensely with all my heart

- *I loved intensely the policeman with all my heart
- *I loved intensely with all my heart the policeman

Only 1 complement

*I loved the policeman the fireman

Reordering

I loved the policeman with all my heart intensely

- I loved the policeman intensely with all my heart
- *I loved intensely the policeman with all my heart
- *I loved intensely with all my heart the policeman

Conjunction

- I loved the policeman and the fireman
- I loved the policeman intensely and with all my heart
- *I loved the policeman and intensely



Do so replacement

Susan loved the policemen intensely with all her heart but/and

- Mary did so with her brain!
- Mary did so mildly with her brain
- *Mary did so the fireman

AdjPs and PPs???

AdjPs and PPs???

 Evidence is much weaker.
 very afraid of tigers adjunct head complement
 very in love with himself adjunct head complement adjunct

AdjPs and PPs???

 Evidence is much weaker.
 very afraid of tigers adjunct head complement
 very in love with himself adjunct head complement adjunct
 We will assume the distinction exists here for parsimony reasons (that is, to make the theory pretty)
The only element we have seen in specifiers so far is the determiner. In the next chapter, we'll argue that even these aren't real specifiers.

- The only element we have seen in specifiers so far is the determiner.
 In the next chapter, we'll argue that even these aren't real specifiers.
 - Instead, we'll argue the specifier is where subjects are generated.
 More on this later.

- The only element we have seen in specifiers so far is the determiner.
 In the next chapter, we'll argue that even these aren't real specifiers.
 - Instead, we'll argue the specifier is where subjects are generated.
 More on this later.
- For now, understand the definition (sister to X', daughter of XP), and put determiners there.

- The only element we have seen in specifiers so far is the determiner. In the next chapter, we'll argue that even these aren't real specifiers.
- Instead, we'll argue the specifier is where subjects are generated. More on this later.

STAY TUNED FOR EXCITING DEVELOPMENTS ON SPECIFIERS ©Andrew Carnie, 2006 For now, understand the definition (sister to X', daughter of XP), and put determiners there.

Specifier: sister to X', daughter of XP

- Specifier: sister to X', daughter of XP
- Adjunct: sister to X', daughter of X'



- Specifier: sister to X', daughter of XP
- Adjunct: sister to X', daughter of X'
- Complement: sister to X, daughter of X'

- Specifier: sister to X', daughter of XP
- Adjunct: sister to X', daughter of X'
- Complement: sister to X, daughter of X'
- X-bar theory predicts differences in behavior between complements and adjuncts
 - only one complement, multiple adjuncts
 - + complement must be closest to head
 - adjuncts can be reordered
 - + conjunction
 - *One/did so + complement



 Complement/Adjunct distinction hold of prehead material too.



- Complement/Adjunct distinction hold of prehead material too.
- The C/A distinction can capture ambiguity



- Complement/Adjunct distinction hold of prehead material too.
- The C/A distinction can capture ambiguity
- There is strong evidence for the C/A distinction in NPs and VPs

- Complement/Adjunct distinction hold of prehead material too.
- The C/A distinction can capture ambiguity
- There is strong evidence for the C/A distinction in NPs and VPs
- The evidence for AdjPs/AdvPs and PPs is weaker

- Complement/Adjunct distinction hold of prehead material too.
- The C/A distinction can capture ambiguity
- There is strong evidence for the C/A distinction in NPs and VPs
- The evidence for AdjPs/AdvPs and PPs is weaker
- We are leaving specifiers aside for the moment as something to be dealt with later.